Conserved tyrosine kinase promotes the import of silencing RNA into Caenorhabditis elegans cells
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RNA silencing in Caenorhabditis elegans is transmitted between cells by the transport of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). The efficiency of such transmission, however, depends on both the cell type and the environment. Here, we identify systemic RNAi defective-3 (SID-3) as a conserved tyrosine kinase required for the efficient import of dsRNA. Without SID-3, cells perform RNA silencing well but import dsRNA poorly. Upon overexpression of SID-3, cells import dsRNA more efficiently than wild-type cells and such efficient import of dsRNA requires an intact SID-3 kinase domain. The mammalian homolog of SID-3, activated cdc-42-associated kinase (ACK), acts in many signaling pathways that respond to environmental changes and is known to directly associate with endocytic vesicles, which have been implicated in dsRNA transport. Therefore, our results suggest that the SID-3/ACK tyrosine kinase acts as a regulator of RNA import into animal cells.

Intercellular signaling can occur through the transport of RNA between cells (reviewed in ref. 1). In some animals such transport occurs in response to the expression or ingestion of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) and in plants the transport of endogenous RNAs between cells can mediate epigenetic effects during development. The import of dsRNA and mobile silencing RNA into Caenorhabditis elegans cells occurs via a conserved dsRNA transporter called systemic RNAIi defective-1 (SID-1) (2) and mammalian homologs of SID-1 have been reported to import dsRNA into cells (3, 4). Despite this conservation of import mechanisms and the detection of the transfer of RNAs between cultured mammalian cells (5), the intercellular transport of RNA has not been robustly detected in mammals. In C. elegans, however, transport of silencing RNAs is easily observed from neurons, muscles, and the gut to other tissues (6). However, the efficiency of such transport varies between cell types (6) and is influenced by environmental conditions, such as starvation (2) or the presence of excess environmental dsRNA (7). Furthermore, this variation may underlie the inability to detect RNA transport between C. elegans cells in some studies (8, 9). Similar differences have also been reported in Drosophila: silencing triggered by injected dsRNA spreads throughout the fly (10) but silencing triggered by the expression of dsRNA in specific tissues does not (11). A possible explanation for this variation in the detection of RNA transport between cells is that there are mechanisms that interfere with such transport. Therefore, the identification of signaling pathways that control the efficiency of RNA transport could both enable the discovery of mechanisms that modulate RNA transport in response to the environment and enhance the reliable detection of RNA transport between cells in animals.

Results

sid-3 Encodes the C. elegans Ortholog of Mammalian Activated cdc-42–Associated Kinase. We previously used a genetic screen in C. elegans to identify genes that control the transport of RNA between cells (2). These systemic RNAIi defective (sid) mutants comprise three large complementation groups: sid-1, sid-2, and sid-3. The sid-1 gene encodes a conserved membrane protein with multiple transmembrane domains that imports silencing RNAs into cells (2, 6, 12, 13); sid-2 encodes a single-pass transmembrane domain protein that is required for the import of ingested dsRNA across the gut luminal membrane (14). In this study, we present the analysis of sid-3.

For the sid-1 screen (2), we created a transgenic strain that allows for rapid discrimination between mutants simply defective for RNAIi and mutants defective in the transport of RNA silencing signals. Animals of this strain express GFP in two tissues, the pharynx and the body wall muscle (bwm) cells, and also express gfp–dsRNA in the pharynx. In wild-type animals the pharyngeally expressed gfp–dsRNA silences pharyngeal GFP expression and, via the transport of silencing signals, also silences some anterior bwm cell GFP expression. When these animals are grown on bacteria that express gfp–dsRNA, then all anterior and posterior bwm cells are also silenced (Fig. 1A, Upper), making the strain suitable for large-scale phenotypic screening. In RNAIi-defective mutants, silencing is not observed in any tissue, but in mutants defective in the uptake of dsRNA from the environment (e.g., sid-2), strong silencing of GFP expression is observed in pharyngeal and some anterior bwm cells but not in posterior bwm cells. In systemic RNAIi mutants (e.g., sid-1) the strong silencing of pharyngeal GFP remains intact, but silencing in bwm cells, which is dependent on mobile silencing signals, is not observed. All isolated sid-3 mutants (14 alleles) appeared similar to sid-1 mutants in that silencing of the bwm cell GFP expression was greatly reduced but, unlike sid-1–null mutants, was not eliminated (Fig. 1A, Lower). In addition, GFP expression in the pharynx was silenced to a greater extent in sid-3(−) animals than in wild-type animals (Fig. 1A). Taken together, our results suggest that sid-3 mutants are defective in the transport of silencing RNAs.

We used two-factor mapping to narrow the location of the sid-3 mutation to a small region of the C. elegans genome. To determine whether these recombinants were Sid, we used the highly penetrant resistance of sid-3 mutants to feeding RNAIi of GFP (Fig. 1A) or of the germ-line and embryonic gene pal-1 (15). This mapping placed sid-3 to the right of the visible marker unc-3 on the X chromosome (Fig. S1A) in a region with significantly reduced recombination (16). To enable the isolation of recombinants in this region, we used a dpy-28(−)/dpy-28(+) background, which increases the crossover frequency in this region (16). Using this approach, we isolated 286 recombinants between the N2 and CB4856 polymorphic strains that had recombined to the right of unc-3 (Fig. S1A). Analysis of these recombinants narrowed the region containing sid-3 to the terminal 588 kb of the X chromosome (Fig. S1A). To identify DNA sequence changes within the sid-3 region associated with the sid-3(q40) allele, we...
Fig. 1. *sid-3* encodes a tyrosine kinase that regulates mobile RNA silencing. (A) *sid-3(−)* animals are defective in systemic RNAi. Representative wild-type (Upper) and *sid-3(−)* (Lower) animals where GFP expression in the pharynx and bwm cells is silenced (brackets) because of both pharynx-expressed and ingested gfp-dsRNA. Insets are brightfield images. (B) Gene structure of *sid-3* showing mutations in isolated *sid-3(−)* alleles and the deduced changes in the *SID-3* protein. Δ, deletion; *, stop codon. *sid-3(tm342)* was obtained from the *C. elegans* stock center. The tyrosine kinase domain (blue), SH3 domain (green), and CRIB domain (pink) are shown. (C) The silencing defect in *sid-3(−)* animals is rescued by *sid-3(+)* expression. Unlike expression of the fluorescent protein DsRed alone (Left, *qtEx[DsRed]*), coexpression of *sid-3(+)* (Right, *qtEx[DsRed&sid-3(+)]*) results in robust silencing in the bwm cells of *sid-3(−)* animals. Left Insets are brightfield images and Right Insets are red channel images. (D) Penetration of silencing depicted in A and C. For each strain, the proportions of animals that lack detectable silencing are shown. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. *n* = 40, *P* < 0.05; § *P* = 0.054. (E) Schematic of multiple sequence alignment of *SID-3* with its orthologs from *Caenorhabditis briggsae*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, and *Homo sapiens*. Known domains as in B and amino acid residues identical in three (orange) or in four (red) species are shown. (F) Phylogenetic relationship between the kinase domains of *SID-3* and that of its paralog and homologs. Scale indicates amino acid substitution rate. (G) Similarity across the whole protein between *SID-3*, its paralog, and homologs in other species. *SID-3* (black) shows greater identity than ARK-1 (white) to the human and fly ACK homologs. (H) *ark-1* mutants do not have a significant defect in silencing the skin gene *dpy-7* by feeding RNAi. See Fig 2 for details of the assay.

sequenced the genomic DNA from *sid-3(qf40)* animals using whole-genome sequencing (17). We identified a point mutation that results in a premature stop codon in the gene B0302.1 (Fig. S1 B and C), the exon-intron structure of which (Fig. 1B) is well supported by RNA-Seq data for each developmental stage (Fig. S2). We next sequenced DNA corresponding to the exons and exon-intron junctions of B0302.1 from 12 additional *sid-3* mutant alleles. In all, 9 of the 13 alleles had point mutations or small deletions that result in premature stop codons in the corresponding protein; one had a splicing acceptor mutation; and two others had missense mutations that drastically altered conserved residues (Gly to Asp and Arg to Gln). (Fig. 1B and Figs. S3, S4, and S5). These observations suggest that severe disruption of the *SID-3* protein is required to cause a readily detectable defect in mobile RNA silencing in our genetic screen. Confirming the gene identity of *sid-3*, we found that overexpression of genomic DNA containing B0302.1 along with its promoter and 3′ UTR regions robustly rescued the silencing defect in *sid-3(−)* animals (Fig. 1C, compare Left and...
Right). Interestingly, compared with wild-type animals, sid-3(−/−) expression in a greater number of bwm cells (expressivity) and showed robust silencing in a larger fraction of the animals (penetrance) (Fig. 1D). Thus, B0302.1 encodes SID-3 and the extent of silencing because of mobile silencing RNA depends on the level of SID-3 expression.

The sid-3 gene encodes a conserved protein with a tyrosine kinase domain, a Src homology 3 (SH3) domain, and a Cdc42/Rac interactive binding (CRIB) domain (Fig. 1B and E, and Fig. S4). This domain composition is present in the activated Cdc42–associated kinase (Ack) family of cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases (Fig. 1E and Fig. S4) (reviewed in ref. 18). C. elegans contains two members of this broadly conserved family of tyrosine kinases: SID-3 and Ack related kinase-1 (ARK-1). Phylegenetic analysis suggests that the kinase domain of SID-3, rather than that of ARK-1, is more closely related to human ACK (Fig. 1F).

Although both ARK-1 and SID-3 are more similar to ACK than the other human Ack-family protein TNK1 (Fig. 1G), ark-1(−/−) animals do not have a defect in silencing because of mobile RNA (Fig. 1H). To test whether ACK can rescue the silencing defects in sid-3(−/−) animals, we transformed sid-3(−/−) animals with a mouse ACK cDNA under the control of either the sister-3 promoter or a bwm promoter (see SI Materials and Methods for details). In both cases, we failed to obtain viable transgenic lines but observed larval and embryonic lethality in the progeny of injected animals. Thus, although it remains unclear whether ACK can functionally replace SID-3 in systemic RNAi, the sequence similarity and analysis of ark-1(−/−) animals suggest that SID-3 is the only C. elegans ortholog of the mammalian ACK protein with a defect in the transport of mobile RNA.

SID-3 is Required for Efficient Feeding RNAi in all Tissues and Is Localized to the Cytoplasm of Many Tissues. To test whether sid-3(−/−) animals have defects in RNA silencing beyond the inability to silence GFP expression in bwm cells in response to mobile RNA, we examined their response to feeding RNAi of genes expressed in multiple tissues. Using two outcrossed sid-3 null mutants [sid-3(W310Stop) and the sid-3(tm342) deletion that leads to a premature stop codon], we tested the requirement for sid-3 to silence lin-14 (Fig. 1J), muscle-unc-22 and unc-45), germ-line (par-1 and pos-1), and intestine-expressed (act-5) genes (Fig. 2A–C). In all cases, sid-3−/− mutants were measurably defective in silencing the target gene, although the severity of the defect varied among tissues and was often less penetrant than sid-1−/− mutants (e.g., germ-line and intestinal genes). In particular, sid-3(−/−) animals were only mildly defective in silencing the intestine-expressed gene act-5. This observation suggested that, unlike SID-1 or SID-2, the SID-3 protein may not be required for the import of ingested dsRNA into intestinal cells but rather is required for the subsequent export of dsRNA from intestinal cells to internal tissues. Because the sur-5:gfp transgene expresses nuclear-localized GFP in all somatic cells, which is easily observed in the large intestinal nuclei, we measured the extent of GFP silencing in intestinal nuclei of individual sid-3(−/−);sur-5:gfp animals in response to gfp feeding RNAi. We found that sid-3(−/−) animals clearly showed a measurable defect in silencing GFP expression in intestinal cells, although they were more silenced than the completely defective sid-1(−/−) animals (Fig. 2D–G). In summary,
these results suggest that because SID-3 is required to fully silence genes expressed in many tissues, including intestinal cells in response to ingested dsRNA, sid-3 is likely to function broadly to control silencing in most tissues.

To determine the tissues that express the sid-3 gene, we constructed reporter designs to express either GFP or DsRed under the control of the sid-3 promoter and 3′ UTR regions that were used to rescue sid-3(-) in Fig. 1. These transcriptional reporters were expressed in multiple distinct cell types, including the gut, pharynx, bwm, skin, and excretory canal cells (Fig. 3). To examine the subcellular localization of the SID-3 protein, we generated translational reporter constructs designed to express a SID-3::DsRed fusion protein under the control of the same sid-3 promoter and 3′ UTR regions used above. The SID-3::DsRed fusion protein rescued the silencing defects in sid-3(-) animals (100% of animals expressing SID-3::DsRed showed silencing upon dpy-7 feeding RNAi) and, similar to the mammalian Ack proteins (19), was localized within the cytoplasm of cells in a diffuse as well as punctate pattern in all examined tissues (Fig. 3). To ensure that the punctate localization of SID-3::DsRed was not a result of nonspecific aggregation induced by fusion to the DsRed protein, we similarly generated a SID-3::GFP fusion protein. This fusion protein was also broadly expressed and localized to the cytoplasm of cells in a diffuse as well as punctate pattern (Fig. S6).

These results suggest that the SID-3 protein likely functions in the cytoplasm of most tissues to enable efficient RNAi in response to ingested dsRNA.

**Fig. 3.** SID-3 is a widely expressed cytoplasmic protein. Fluorescence images of animals that coexpress nuclear-enriched GFP (Left) and a rescuing SID-3::DsRed fusion protein (Right) under the control of the sid-3 promoter and 3′ UTR. Left Insets are differential interference contrast images and Right Insets are merged red and green channel images. Fluorescence from SID-3::DsRed fusion was detected diffusely throughout the cytoplasm and in cytoplasmic foci. Similar diffuse and focal expression was also observed using a SID-3::GFP fusion protein (Fig. S6). Note that extrachromosomal arrays, which express the fluorescent proteins above, are lost mitotically, resulting in mosaic expression. For the more stable extrachromosomal arrays, the mosaic expression patterns largely match the known cell lineage. (Scale bars, 20 µm.)

**SID-3 Is Not Defective in Cell-Autonomous RNAi but Is Defective in the Transport of RNA Between Cells.** This broad distribution of SID-3 could reflect that SID-3 is necessary for the efficient execution of RNAi (cell-autonomous RNAi) in all tissues or that SID-3 is necessary for the efficient transport of dsRNA to all tissues. The lack of a silencing defect in pharyngeal cells that express dsRNA (Fig. 1B) suggests that the ability to execute RNAi is not compromised in sid-3(-) animals. However, because the level of dsRNA expression in the pharynx is unknown, a high level of dsRNA expression in the pharynx could mask a mild defect in the execution of RNAi in sid-3(-) animals.

To clearly distinguish defects in the execution of RNAi from defects solely in the transport of dsRNA, we measured the response of sid-3(-) animals to known amounts of dsRNA injected into cells. Specifically, we injected different concentrations of dsRNA targeting an embryonic gene (pal-1) directly into both gonad arms of animals and measured the fraction of progeny that showed embryonic lethality (Fig. 4A). The germ line within the gonad is syncytial and so does not require dsRNA transport for silencing. Wild-type animals injected with increasing concentrations of pal-1-dsRNA laid an increasing proportion of dead progeny. The lethality was specifically caused by RNAi because no lethality was observed at any of the concentrations tested in nle-1(-) animals, which are resistant to RNAi. In this assay, sid-3 mutants laid a greater proportion of dead progeny than did wild-type animals at all dsRNA doses. This finding reveals that cell-autonomous RNAi may be enhanced in sid-3(-) animals. To test this possibility rigorously we used a single needle containing a limiting but identical concentration (10 ng/µL) of pal-1-dsRNA to inject both gonad arms of 10 wild-type worms and 10 sid-3(-) worms. We then measured the proportion of dead progeny laid by each doubly injected animal (Fig. 4B). Although sid-3(-) animals appear marginally more sensitive to silencing than wild-type animals, these results were statistically indistinguishable (P > 0.05 Mann–Whitney U test). Nevertheless, these results clearly demonstrate that sid-3 mutants are not defective in the execution of RNAi. Furthermore, the minor enhanced RNAi observed in response to injection of dsRNA into the germ line and the enhanced silencing of pharyngeal GFP because of gfp-dsRNA expression within the pharynx (Fig. 1A) suggest that sid-3 mutants may even be enhanced for the execution of RNAi. Therefore, our results are consistent with the idea that the partial silencing defect in response to mobile RNA observed in sid-3(-) animals solely reflects a defect in RNA transport between cells.

**SID-3 Is Required for the Import of Silencing RNA into Cells but Not for Their Export from Cells.** SID-3 may control the export and the import of dsRNA as well as of mobile silencing RNA, which are also forms of dsRNA (20). To evaluate the roles of SID-3 in RNA transport between cells, we rescued sid-3(-) animals in gfp-dsRNA–expressing donor tissue or in GFP-expressing recipient tissue and measured silencing of GFP in the recipient tissue (Fig. 4 C and D). Specifically, we used sid-3(-) animals that express GFP and gfp-dsRNA in the pharynx (donor) and that express GFP in the bwm cells (recipient). Expression of sid-3(+)(+) under the control of a pharynx-specific promoter failed to rescue GFP silencing in bwm cells, suggesting that SID-3 does not have a detectable effect on the efficiency of export of mobile silencing RNA. In contrast, expression of sid-3(-)(+) under the control of a bwm-specific promoter robustly rescued GFP silencing in bwm cells, suggesting that SID-3 plays a role in controlling the efficiency of mobile silencing RNA import into recipient cells. Thus, these results support the idea that SID-3 is specifically required to ensure the efficient import of mobile silencing RNA and dsRNA into C. elegans cells.

**Tyrosine Kinase Domain of SID-3 Is Required for the Efficient Import of dsRNA into Cells.** SID-3 contains several conserved protein interaction domains (Fig. 1B) and thus may play a structural rather
Fig. 4. Efficient import of dsRNA requires sid-3. (A) Response to different concentrations of dsRNA injected into the germine. Adult animals of wild-type, rde-1(–), and two sid-3(–) genotypes [sid-3(W310*)-sid-3(+) and the tm342 deletion-sid-3(+)F] were injected with a similar volume of the indicated pal-1 dsRNA concentrations. pal-1-RNA is embryonic lethal. The proportion of dead embryos laid by each injected animal (circle) and the average pooled proportion of dead embryos for each concentration and genotype (X) is plotted. (B) Response to limiting amounts of dsRNA (10 ng/μl) injected into the germ line of wild-type and sid-3(W310*) animals. Red bars and circles indicate average and individual proportions of dead embryos laid, respectively. P value is based on Mann–Whitney U test. (C) Schematic of experiment to test the role of SID-3 in exporting and importing tissues. (D) SID-3 is not required in the exporting tissue but is required in the importing tissue for silencing because of mobile RNA. sid-3(–) animals that express GFP in the pharynx and in bwm cells but express gfp-dsRNA only in the pharynx were transformed with constructs that express sid-3(+) under the control of its own (sid-3), bwm-specific (bwm), or pharynx-specific (phar) promoter and the percentage of transgenic animals that show gfp silencing in bwm cells was determined. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. n = 100 L4 animals; *P < 0.05.

Discussion

We have shown that: (i) SID-3 functions in the importing cell to enable silencing by mobile RNA; (ii) SID-3 function requires an intact kinase domain; (iii) cells that lack SID-3 are not defective, but are marginally enhanced for cell-autonomous RNAi; and (iv) overexpression of SID-3 enhances silencing because of mobile RNA. Taken together, these observations suggest that the conserved tyrosine kinase SID-3 promotes dsRNA import into cells.

Three considerations lead us to speculate that SID-3/ACK functions to enhance the endocytic import of dsRNA into animal cells. First, the ACK tyrosine kinase was initially identified as a protein that binds and prolongs the activity of Cdc42 (23), a small GTPase that promotes endocytosis (24). Notably, the CRIB domain required for this binding is highly conserved (56% identical) between the human ACK protein and SID-3 (Fig. 1E). Second, a screen using Drosophila cultured cells revealed a conserved role for clathrin-mediated endocytosis in the import of dsRNA into S2 cells (25). Third, in cultured mammalian cells, activated ACK associates with Cdc42 and invaginated endocytic vesicles (26).

Consistent with a possible role for SID-3-ACK tyrosine phosphorylation in endocytosis, the C. elegans dynamin, DYN-1, was recently found to be phosphorylated at a tyrosine (27). Thus, signaling through the SID-3/ACK tyrosine kinase may promote the endocytic uptake of dsRNA for the eventual import into the cytoplasm through the conserved dsRNA transporter SID-1 in C. elegans and in mammals. Signaling through ACK is activated by multiple extracellular stimuli that include growth factors and cell attachment (28). Therefore, the receptivity of animal cells for dsRNA uptake may be sensitive to developmental or environmental conditions.

Fig. 5. The kinase domain of SID-3 is required for the efficient import of dsRNA into cells. sid-3(–) animals that express GFP in the pharynx and in bwm cells but that express gfp-dsRNA only in the pharynx (A) were transformed with constructs that express either wild-type SID-3 [sid-3(+)B] or a kinase-dead version of SID-3 [sid-3(KD–)] (C) in bwm cells. Representative fluorescence images of silencing in response to gfp feeding RNAi in third larval-staged animals of each of the above three genotypes are shown. Only animals that express sid-3(+) in bwm showed silencing of bwm cells (brackets). Insets are brightfield images. (Scale bars, 50 μm.)
signals that activate the tyrosine kinase SID-3/ACK to promote the import of mobile silencing RNA.

Materials and Methods

Worm strains and transgenic animals were generated and maintained using standard methods (6). The position of sid-3 was narrowed using SNP mapping and the corresponding mutation was identified using whole-genome sequencing (17). Rescues of sid-3 mutants were performed using PCR products amplified from genomic DNA and fused with different promoter sequences. Resistance to RNAi was evaluated using feeding RNAi or injection of dsRNA. Detailed procedures and a list of the PCR primers used are provided in SI Materials and Methods.
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SI Materials and Methods

Strains Used. N2 Bristol wild-type (used in all experiments except mapping), CB4856 Hawaiian wild-type, HC57 qtls3(Pmyo2::gfpRNA);mIs11(Pmyo3::GFP);ccIs4251(Pmyo3::GFP) (1), HC114 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-1(q99) (1), HC115 ccs4251;unc-6(e78) dpy-6(e14) sid-3(q14), HC111 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q11), HC118 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q16), HC120 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q18), HC152 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q27), HC153 qtls3; mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q28), HC154 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q29), HC156 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q31), HC157 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q32), HC161 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q36), HC162 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q37), HC163 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q38), HC164 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q39), HC165 qtls3;mIs11;ccIs4251;sid-3(q40), HC195 nrIs20[+5];gfp, HC196 sid-1(q9), HC566 nrIs20;sid-1(q9), HC769 sid-3(q31) [outcrossed twice to N2], HC770 sid-3(tm342) [outcrossed twice to N2], HC771 nrIs20[sid-3(tm342), MT11836 ark-1(n3701), PS1461 ark-1(sy247), WM27 nle-1(ne219), and TY148 dpy-28(y1)].

SNP Mapping. Two-factor mapping indicated that sid-3 is located in a region of recombination suppression to the right of unc-3 on the X chromosome (2). Specifically, using the sid-3(q40) allele, we found that 9/9 Dpy-6-non-Unc-3 were systemic RNAi defective (Sid) (as evidenced by resistance to pal-1 feeding RNAi) and 28/28 Unc-3-non-Dpy-6 animals were non-Sid (as evidenced by sensitivity to pal-1 feeding RNAi). A Dpy-28(−)/Dpy-28(+) background was used to increase recombination in this region (2). HC115 was crossed into a strain that was Hawaiian on the X chromosome but that had dpy-28(y1) in the background and recombinants that separate unc-6 and dpy-6 from sid-3 were isolated. These recombinants were tested for the following SNPs between the Bristol and Hawaiian strains: pkP6167, pkP6168, pkP6169, pkP6170, pkP6171, and pkP6172, hawi11990, and C66–243. The SNPs were identified using primers as listed in wormbase (www.wormbase.org) and either restriction enzyme digests or Sanger sequencing. Using this approach, 286 recombinants were analyzed to position sid-3 to the terminal ~588-kb region after hawi11990 on the X chromosome.

Outcross and Genotyping. The sid-3(q31) mutation was isolated from the GFP and gfp-pfg hRNA transgenes by backcrossing HC156 with N2 twice. Successful backcrosses were identified by the lack of GFP expression and restriction fragment length polymorphisms. The region containing the point mutation (qt31) was amplified through PCR with primers PS5 and PS6, and then cut with the enzyme NcoI, which cuts wild type but not the mutant. sid-3(tm342) was made from heterozygotes of a deletion in B0302.1, m342 (National Bioresource Project for the nematode and Shohai Mitani, Department of Physiology, Tokyo Women’s Medical University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan), by backcrossing with N2 twice. The deletion was followed using a set of primers (PS1, PS2, PS4) that yielded different length PCR fragments for wild-type and the deletion.

Preparation of Sample for Whole-Genome Sequencing and Verification by T/A Cloning. HC165 genomic DNA was purified to eliminate RNA using a protocol adapted from Qiagen Puregene Core Kit and the Hobert Laboratory (3), and the DNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Next, 1.5 μg of the DNA (406.4 ng/μL) was fragmented for 20 min with Fragmentase (New England Biolabs). End repair, addition of a 3’ A, and ligation of Illumina adaptors were done as per the protocol from Illumina (3). The resultant library was then run on a 2% (wt/vol) agarose gel and bands from 100 to 250 bp were excised and purified using Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). The library was amplified using Illumina primers complementary to the adapter sequences. The final concentration of the library was 19.5 ng/μL. Using the Stratagene PCR Cloning Kit (Stratagene), DNA from the library was inserted into plasmids with LacZ and ampicillin resistance through TA TOPO cloning. Stratagene competent cells were transformed with the plasmid and grown on Luria broth plates with 100 μg/mL of carbenicillin for blue/white color screening. White or light blue colonies were picked and the inserts were Sanger-sequenced. Thirteen of the 15 distinct insert sequences obtained mapped to the Caenorhabditis elegans genome and two mapped to the Escherichia coli genome (likely from contaminating food). Because a majority of the sequences were from HC165, we proceeded with this library for whole-genome sequencing.

Bioinformatic Analysis After Whole-Genome Sequencing. We obtained 22,005,912 reads that were each 42 bases long from sequencing ( Illumina GAII system). We used Galaxy (4–6) to analyze and align the reads to the C. elegans genome (ce6). These Illumina reads were entered into Galaxy and 21.7 million reads of good quality were culled (quality score ≥10 and maximum number of bases allowed outside of quality for each read was 3). As these were more variable in quality, we trimmed three bases off the 3’ ends to increase alignment fidelity. Upon alignment, 81% of the reads (i.e., 17,583,185 reads with a read length of 39 bases) mapped to the C. elegans genome for a 6.85× coverage of the genome. Of the mapped reads, we filtered for reads on the X chromosome to the right of hawi11990, leaving 472,865 reads. From these reads, we filtered for candidate mutations (covered by at least one read and with more than half the reads different from the reference sequence) to obtain 32 candidates.

Testing the Candidate Mutations. Regions containing each of the candidate mutations were amplified by PCR using 25 sets of primers (P1–P50). The PCR products were purified using Qiagen PCR Column Purification and Sanger-sequenced. Nine of these products were successfully sequenced and three of the nine (one in an exon, two in introns) were confirmed as true mutations. The remaining six were false-positives from Illumina sequencing that did not confirm by Sanger sequencing. Unlike the false-positives, the three mutations confirmed were strong candidates as all of the Illumina reads that covered the corresponding base had the mutation.

Sequencing the Other Alleles of sid-3. To find the mutations in the 12 remaining alleles of sid-3 other than qt40 (used for Illumina sequencing) and m342 (known deletion), we designed primers (P51–P70) to sequence all of the known exons of B0302.1 according to Wormbase and confirmed by the modENCODE project (Fig. S2). Parts of the gene that contain exons were amplified by PCR (Fig. S3) and the PCR products were then purified using PCR Column Purification kit (Qiagen) and Sanger-sequenced.

DNA Constructs and Transgenesis. Coinjection markers. The plasmids pRF4 (7), pHCl83 (1), and pHCl448 (8) were used to obtain transgenic animals that roll, express DsRed2 in the body wall muscles (bwm), or express DsRed2 in the pharynx, respectively.

PCR fusion products. Except as noted, promoter, gene, and 3’ UTR sequences were amplified from genomic DNA or plasmid

Jose et al.  www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/1201153109 1 of 9
sources with PfuUltraII Fusion Polymerase (Agilent) by using primers that result in a ~50 bp overlap between the two PCR fragments. The fusion products, along with specified coding and noncoding sequences, were generated with expand long template (ELT) polymerase (Roche) by using nested primers along with the amplified promoter and the coding sequences as template. In some cases, the two or three PCR fragments were fused in vivo. The specific templates and primers used to generate the various PCR fusion products are detailed below.

**To rescue sid-3.** The entire sid-3 gene plus 6 kb of its upstream promoter region and 450 bp of its 3′ UTR was amplified using the ETL PCR system (Roche) with primers P71 and P72. Two overlapping 10-kb fragments that span the 13-kb region containing sid-3 was used as template to amplify sid-3 for Fig. 1. In all other cases, N2 genomic DNA was used as template to amplify sid-3 sequences. The amplified DNA (10 ng/μL) and pHCl183 (38 ng/μL) was injected into HC156 and HC769 to generate a transgenic line.

**To express sid-3 in the pharynx (Pmyo2::sid-3 gDNA and 3′ UTR).** The myo-2 promoter was amplified from pHCl168 with primers P73 and P74. Sid-3 with its 3′ UTR was amplified from N2 gDNA using ELT polymerase with primers P75 and P76. The fusion product was generated with primers P73 and P77. A 1:4 mix of Pmyo2::sid-3 gDNA and 3′ UTR (10.5 ng/μL) and the coinjection marker pHCl485 (38 ng/μL) was injected into HC769 animals to generate transgenic lines.

**To express sid-3 in the body wall muscles (Pmyo3::sid-3 gDNA and 3′ UTR).** The myo-3 promoter was amplified from pHCl183 with primers P77 and P78. Sid-3 with its 3′ UTR was amplified from gDNA using ELT polymerase with primers P79 and P76. The fusion product was generated with primers P77 and P82. A 1:4 mix of Pmyo3::sid-3 gDNA and 3′ UTR (10.8 ng/μL) and pHCl183 (45 ng/μL) was injected into HC769 animals to generate transgenic lines.

**To produce a transcriptional reporter of sid-3 expression with GFP (Psid-3::gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR).** The 3′ UTR of sid-3 was amplified from gDNA with primers P81 and P76. The gfp coding sequence was amplified from pJM46a using primers P82 and P83. The fusion product gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR was generated with primers P84 and P85. The sid-3 promoter was amplified from gDNA using ELT polymerase and with primers P86 and P87. The final fusion product Psid-3::gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR was generated with primers P71 and P86. A 1:4 mix of Psid-3::gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR (10.35 ng/μL) and the coinjection marker pRF4 (44 ng/μL) was injected into HC769 animals to generate transgenic lines.

**To produce a transcriptional reporter of sid-3 localization with DsRed2 (Psid-3::DsRed2::sid-3 3′ UTR).** The 3′ UTR of sid-3 was amplified from gDNA with primers P88 and P76. The DsRed2 coding sequence was amplified from pHCl183 using primers P82 and P89. The fusion product DsRed2::sid-3 3′ UTR was generated with primers P90 and P84. The sid-3 promoter was amplified from gDNA using ELT polymerase and with primers P86 and P91. The final fusion product Psid-3::DsRed2::sid-3 3′ UTR was generated with primers P71 and P77. A 1:4 mix of Psid-3::DsRed2::sid-3 3′ UTR (10 ng/μL) and the coinjection marker pRF4 (44 ng/μL) was injected into HC769 animals to generate transgenic lines.

**To express a translational fusion of sid-3 with DsRed2 (Psid-3::sid-3 gDNA::DsRed2::sid-3 3′ UTR).** The 3′ UTR of sid-3 was amplified from gDNA with primers P88 and P76. The DsRed2 coding sequence was amplified from pHCl183 with primers P82 and P89. The fusion product gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR was generated with primers P90 and P84. The sid-3 promoter along with sid-3 was amplified from gDNA with primers P86 and P92. The final fusion product, Psid-3::sid-3::DsRed2::sid-3 3′ UTR, was generated with primers P71 and P77. A 1:1 mix of Psid-3::sid-3::DsRed2::sid-3 3′ UTR (16.65 ng/μL) and the coinjection marker Psid-3::gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR (10.35 ng/μL) was injected into HC769 animals to generate transgenic lines.

**To express sid-3(KD) in bwm cells.** The myo-3 promoter was amplified from pHCl183 with primers P93 and P94 using Phusion polymerase mix. The sid-3 coding sequence up to the kinase domain was amplified from wild-type gDNA with primers P95 and P96 using Phusion polymerase mix. The remainder of the sid-3 gene including the 3′ UTR was amplified using P97 and P98 from wild-type gDNA with ELT polymerase. An equimolar mix of Pmyo3-3 (3.8 ng/μL), sid-3 up to kinase domain (4 ng/μL), sid-3 from kinase domain to 3′ UTR (14.6 ng/μL) was injected into HC156 animals along with the coinjection marker pHCl183 (20 ng/μL) to generate transgenic lines. This construct is expected to express SID-3(K139A), a kinase-dead version of SID-3.

**To express sid-3(+) in bwm cells as control for sid-3(KD−) expression.** The myo-3 promoter was amplified from pHCl183 with primers P93 and P94 using Phusion polymerase mix (New England Biolabs). The sid-3 coding sequence up to the kinase domain was amplified from wild-type gDNA with primers P95 and P99 using Phusion polymerase mix. The remainder of the sid-3 gene including the 3′ UTR was amplified using P100 and P98 from wild-type gDNA with ELT polymerase. An equimolar mix of Pmyo3-3 (3.8 ng/μL), sid-3 up to kinase domain (4 ng/μL), sid-3 from kinase domain to 3′ UTR (14.6 ng/μL) was injected into HC156 animals along with the coinjection marker pHCl183 (20 ng/μL) to generate transgenic lines.

**To express mouse activated cdc-42-associated kinase in bwm cells.** The myo-3 promoter was amplified from pHCl183 with primers P101 and P102 using Phusion polymerase mix. The activated cdc-42-associated kinase (ack) cDNA was amplified from pYX-Asc +TNK2 (Source Bioscience) with primers P103 and P104 using Phusion polymerase mix. The unc-54 3′ UTR was amplified using P105 and P106 using Phusion polymerase from pHCl183. An equimolar mix of Pmyo3-3 (4 ng/μL), ack cDNA (4 ng/μL), and unc-54 3′ UTR (14.6 ng/μL) was injected into HC156 animals along with the coinjection marker pHCl183 (20 ng/μL) to generate transgenic lines.

**To express ACK under the control of the sid-3 promoter as a translational fusion in C. elegans (Psid-3::ack::gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR).** The sid-3 3′ UTR was amplified from gDNA with primers P107 and P108. The gfp coding sequence was amplified from pPD95.75 with primers P109 and P110. The fusion product gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR was generated with primers P111 and P112. This fusion product, the sid-3 promoter, amplified from gDNA, and ACK-1 cDNA were injected along with the coinjection marker pHCl183 into HC769 animals to generate transgenic lines where the PCR products would be fused in vivo.

**To express a translational fusion of sid-3 with gfp (Psid-3::sid-3 gDNA::gfp::sid-3 3′ UTR).** The gfp coding sequence was amplified from pPD95.75 with primers P113 and P114 using Phusion polymerase mix (New England Biolabs). The sid-3 3′ UTR was amplified from gDNA with primers P115 and P116 using ELT polymerase mix. The sid-3 promoter along with sid-3 was amplified from gDNA with primers P117 and P118 using ELT polymerase. An equimolar mix of Psid-3::sid-3 (20 ng/μL), GFP (1.5 ng/μL), and sid-3 3′ UTR (1 ng/μL) along with the coinjection marker pRF4 (20 ng/μL) was injected into HC769 animals to generate transgenic lines where the PCR products would be fused in vivo.

In most cases, transgenic animals were healthy and appeared morphologically normal, with the following exceptions. The attempt to express mouse ACK in C. elegans resulted in embryonic and larval lethality in the progeny of injected animals. Overexpression of wild-type sid-3(+) in body-wall muscles or under the control of its own promoter using in vivo fusion as above resulted in many transgenic animals with vulval, egg-laying, and movement defects.

**Feeding RNAi of Endogenous Genes.** E. coli expressing either dsRNA targeting a particular C. elegans gene (Geneservice) or
control dsRNA (L4440) was fed to L4-staged animals on agar plates containing 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside. Progeny of these animals were examined for the corresponding defects. To measure brood size for pal-1 and pos-1 RNAi, three plates containing three L4 animals each were fed either RNAi food or control food and the animals moved to a new plate of the corresponding food after 2 d. All hatched progeny on the first plate were counted 2 d later (on day 4) and all hatched progeny on the second plate were counted 2 d after that (on day 6). The average brood size of the three plates of control food was used to normalize the brood size on each of the three RNAi food plates. For act-5, progeny of stage L4 and older were similarly counted and normalized. For dpy-7, the percentage of young adult progeny that were dumpy was calculated. Only those that were strongly dumpy were counted as dumpy. None of the control RNAi food plates showed any dumpy progeny. For unc-22, we counted percentages of L4 larvae that twitched and adults that were paralyzed. Worms unable to move upon tapping the plate were scored as paralyzed. For unc-45, the percentage of paralyzed L4 progeny was determined.

**gfp-Feeding RNAi.** For gfp feeding RNAi, *E. coli* that expresses gfp-hybrid RNA (hairpin RNA) from the plasmid pPD126.25 was put onto nematode growth (NG) plates (3 g NaCl, 17 g agar, 2.5 g peptone, 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mg of cholesterol, 1 mM MgSO₄, 25 mM KPO₄ in 1 L of H₂O) and three L4 worms were placed on the *E. coli* (9). OP50 was placed on NG plates at 10 ng/mL to feed the progeny. After 4 d, 25 or 50 L4 progeny were picked under white light (for unbiased picking) and put on a new plate. These L4 progeny were then scored for GFP silencing using a dissecting fluorescence microscope at a fixed magnification.

**Injection RNAi of pal-1.** We used T7 RNA polymerase to transcribe in vitro dsRNA that targets the *pal-1* gene. A PCR product amplified from genomic DNA with dual T7 primers was used as a template to generate dsRNA. The transcribed RNA was annealed and quantified using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop). In Fig. 4,4, different concentrations of dsRNA were injected into both gonad arms of three young adult animals (24 h after L4) for each genotype and the proportion of dead progeny among progeny laid 12 h after injection was counted. In Fig. 4B, 10 ng/μL of dsRNA was injected into both gonads of 10 wild-type and 10 sid-3(qt31) animals using the same needle and alternating between the two genotypes. The proportion of dead embryos among progeny laid within a 60-h period beginning 12 h after injection was determined for each injected animal.

**Phylogeny.** The amino acid sequences of the kinase domains of the two Ack family proteins from *C. elegans* (SID-3 114–363 aa and ARK-1 120–376 aa), *D. melanogaster* (DAC-K 130–383 aa and DPR2 140–396 aa), and *H. sapiens* (ACK 196–148 aa and TNK1 123–377 aa) were used for phylogenetic analysis. The phylogeny tree was manually compiled using MUSCLE, Gblocks, PhyML, and TreeDyn at Phylogeny.fr (10). Branches with a bootstrap value <95% were collapsed to generate the final tree. The tree was arbitrarily rooted to the single resolved internode.

**Statistics.** The 95% confidence intervals for dpy-7, unc-22, unc-45 feeding were calculated using Student’s t test. Significance of differences in the *pal-1* dsRNA injection experiments were assessed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test.

**Live Microscopy.** Worms were immobilized using 3 mM levamisole (Sigma-Aldrich) for imaging. All microscopy images are projections of Z-series made with a Zeiss spinning disk confocal microscope. Images being compared in each figure were taken using the same nonsaturating exposure conditions and processed identically (except where indicated otherwise) using Adobe Photoshop for display.

**Primers.**

P1: gccctcttcagcttaacttagg
P2: gttgctttaagcgtcaatggcc
P3: caactcttgcggctgtaacagc
P4: cttctctgcgtgtaacagccg
P5: cattggcagggagccagtt
P6: cccctttgctcaattgtatqg
P7: acggtcatatctcgcgg
P8: caagtggctggactgttcg
P9: ettacaccgactacaaccac
P10: ctttttcgtgctccgggtac
P11: ccacaaatttcageggcc
P12: ctaaattgcgatatttttgac
P13: gttcctctctctacagagc
P14: ggcagacactggaattg
P15: gttccccggcagcact
P16: gttgctgctgctgctgtgac
P17: cggcaagaaatatttttcggg
P18: gagaattgctgctccactcag
P19: caagttacggctcagacag
P20: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P21: gttctctctgctctcagagc
P22: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P23: caagttggctgtctgtgac
P24: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P25: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P26: gttctctctgctctcagagc
P27: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P28: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P29: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P30: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P31: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P32: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P33: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P34: cttgcagagcagacgaagac
P35: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P36: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P37: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P38: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P39: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P40: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P41: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P42: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P43: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P44: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P45: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P46: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P47: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P48: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P49: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P50: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P51: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P52: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P53: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P54: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P55: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P56: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P57: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P58: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P59: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P60: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P61: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
P62: gttgcacgagaaacactctgac
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Fig. S1. Mapping and identification of the mutation in sid-3(qt40). (A) Schematic of two-factor and SNP mapping. Two-factor mapping with unc-6, dpy-6, and unc-3 markers positioned sid-3 to the right of or close to unc-3 (red line) on linkage group X. Further SNP mapping using the strain CB4856 with respect to known polymorphisms (orange lines) placed sid-3 to the right of haw111990 in a 588-kb region (brackets). (B) Candidate mutations identified by whole-genome sequencing. Mutations located in intergenic regions, introns, or exons are indicated with open-circles, gray-filled circles, and black-filled circles, respectively. The only mutation located in an exon, present in all reads covering the region, and verified by Sanger resequencing is indicated with a red arrow. (C) Region of the sid-3(qt40) mutation and mapped Illumina reads with the mutation. All sense (brown) and antisense (blue) reads showed a C to T change in the sense direction.
Fig. S2. Correlation of the predicted sid-3 gene structure with RNA-Seq of mRNA by ModEncode. Exons (orange boxes) and introns (lines) of the three splice forms of sid-3/B0302.1/kin-25 are indicated above the levels of poly(A)+ RNA (blue) detected during each developmental stage.
Fig. S3. Sanger sequencing identifies mutations in additional sid-3 alleles. (A) DNA sequence changes in various mutant alleles. The mutated nucleotide is indicated in uppercase for each mutant allele, extents of deletions are indicated in parentheses, and inserted sequences are indicated in red. Asterisk indicates mutation identified by Illumina sequencing. (B) Schematic showing extent of Sanger sequencing done for each mutant. The pieces of DNA that were amplified from each mutant and sequenced are indicated below the sid-3 gene structure (as in Fig. 1). Deletions are indicated by a black box and the allele in which the causal mutation has not yet been identified is indicated in red.
SID-3 is the closest homolog to human ACK. Sequence alignment between SID-3 and its closest homologs in humans (H.s ACK), flies (D.m DACK), and Caenorhabditis briggsae (C.b SID-3). Conserved domains [Tyrosine kinase domain, Src homology 3 (SH3) domain, and Cdc42/Rac interactive binding (CRIB) domain] are indicated below the alignment and changes caused by missense mutations are indicated above the alignment. Residues identical in four species are shaded in the alignment.
Fig. S5. Missense mutations in SID-3 map to conserved residues away from the ATP-binding site of the ACK kinase domain structure. The residues corresponding to those mutated in SID-3 are indicated in red on the ACK kinase domain structure (1U54 in the Protein Data Bank, www.pdb.org). The phosphorylated tyrosine residue (cyan) in the activation loop (blue) and the bound ATP analog (elemental colors) are also highlighted.

Fig. S6. SID-3 is localized to the cytoplasm of most cells in a diffuse and punctate pattern. The expression of SID-3::GFP under the control of the sid-3 promoter and 3′ UTR sequences in sid-3(−) animals was determined using fluorescence microscopy. In sum, GFP fluorescence was detected in all major somatic cell types and likely all somatic cells. (A) Animal of the second larval stage showing prominent expression in the pharynx and intestine (int). The two outlined regions labeled “C” and “D” are magnified below. (B) Anterior of young adult that shows GFP expression in essentially all cells in the region. This optical section through the middle of the worm shows expression in neurons (N), body wall muscle (bwm), ceolomocytes (cc), as well as numerous unlabeled pharyngeal, hypodermal, and intestinal cells. (C) Magnified view of the anterior midsection indicated in A. The prominent localization to the intestinal luminal apical membrane (am), numerous neurons (N), and puncta (arrow heads) are indicated. The bright spots in the intestine may be puncta of GFP expression or gut granules. (D) Magnified view of a posterior lateral optical section of the region indicated in A. The anterior seam cells (sc) express SID-3::GFP in this animal, but the lineally distant posterior seam cells do not (pair of large irregular nonstaining cells). The numerous syncytial hypodermal nuclei are the dark regions in the hypodermis (hyp), which contains many SID-3::GFP puncta. The excretory canal process is indicated (exc). (Scale bars, 10 μm.)